

Laughlin Wave Function and One-Dimensional Free Fermions

Prasanta K. Panigrahi* and M. Sivakumar**

School of Physics, University of Hyderabad,

Hyderabad - 500 134, India

Abstract

Making use of the well-known phase space reduction in the lowest Landau level (LLL), we show that the Laughlin wave function for the $\nu = \frac{1}{m}$ case can be obtained exactly as a coherent state representation of an one dimensional ($1D$) wave function. The $1D$ system consists of m copies of free fermions associated with each of the N electrons, confined in a common harmonic well potential. Interestingly, the condition for this exact correspondence is found to incorporate Jain's parton picture. We argue that, this correspondence between the free fermions and quantum Hall effect is due to the mapping of the $1D$ system under consideration, to the Gaussian unitary ensemble in the random matrix theory.

e-mail addresses: * panisp@uohyd.ernet.in, ** mssp@uohyd.ernet.in.

Laughlin wave function, which describes the incompressible quantum fluid phase of a two-dimensional ($2D$) interacting electron gas has enjoyed tremendous success in explaining the observed features of the fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE) [1,2]. Jain has given an interpretation of FQHE in terms of the integral quantum Hall effect (IQHE) of fractionally charged ‘partons’[3] and also as IQHE of composite fermions– fermions with even number of flux quanta attached [4]. In recent times there have been attempts [5] to relate quantum Hall effect with a certain $1D$ model– the Calogero-Sutherland model [6]. This is due to the similarities between the structures of the ground state and the excited states of the two systems. Azuma and Iso, in particular, have shown that, close relationship exists between the two wave functions for a narrow channel quantum Hall system [7] and Iso also has argued for an universality between the two in the long-wavelength limit [8]. It is interesting to enquire if such a construction is possible under more general conditions and what is the role of Jain’s picture in this context. In this letter, we obtain an exact mapping between the Laughlin wave function for the $\nu = \frac{1}{m}$ case and the wave function for $1D$ free fermions, making use of an approach analogous to the Jain’s parton picture.

In arriving at the Laughlin wave function, it is assumed that electrons are restricted to the lowest Landau level (LLL) due to the effect of the strong magnetic field and low temperatures. It is well known [9], and as we shall also show below, that this restriction converts the configuration space of the electrons to a phase space of an $1D$ system. Viewed in this way, it is pertinent to ask if the Laughlin wave function itself can be considered as a coherent state representation of an $1D$ system. We show that, the ground state wave function for m non-interacting partons, associated with each of the N electrons, confined in a common harmonic well, has as its coherent state representation the Laughlin wave function when certain restrictions are imposed. Interestingly, these conditions implement Jain’s parton picture quite elegantly. In contrast to [8], we do not need any restriction on the sample size.

Consider a particle of charge e and mass m_0 in two dimensions ($2D$) in a transverse

magnetic field B and a potential $V(x,y)$. In the gauge $\vec{A} = \frac{B}{2}(-x, y)$

$$L = \frac{1}{2}m_0(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2) + \frac{eB}{2c}(-y\dot{x} + x\dot{y}) - V(x, y). \quad (1)$$

Since the spectrum is equally spaced with spacings $\hbar\omega_c = \hbar\frac{eB}{m_0c}$, when the potential is weak, the restriction to LLL takes place, when zero mass limit is taken. In this limit, it follows from the Lagrangian [9] that

$$[x, y] = -il_B^2, \quad (2)$$

where $l_B^2 = \frac{\hbar c}{eB}$. Thus the phase space is reduced from the four variables p_x, p_y, x, y to two variables X_1, X_2 defined below. These can also be seen as the guiding centre coordinates of the cyclotron orbit given by $X_i = x_i + \frac{l_B^2}{\hbar}\epsilon_{ij}\pi_j$, where $\pi_j = p_j - \frac{e}{c}A_j$, satisfying the same relation as in (2). Here, x_1 and x_2 are the coordinates (x,y) of the electrons before LLL restriction is made. When restricted to the LLL, the coordinates of the electron in $2D$ are identified with that of the guiding centre coordinates. The two coordinates thus behave like canonically conjugate variables of an $1D$ system. The combination $(X_1 - (+)iX_2) = \sqrt{2}b(b^\dagger)$ obeying the oscillator algebra, connects the degenerate angular momentum states. Thus the wave function of LLL state is given by $\Psi(z) = \langle \Psi | z \rangle$ where $z = x + iy$ is the eigenvalue of $X_1 + iX_2$ on the state $|z\rangle$. $|z\rangle$ is the coherent state associated with the angular momentum algebra. The coordinate space representation of the coherent state, $\langle q | z \rangle$, where $|q\rangle$ is the $1D$ coordinate basis, follows easily. Taking the inner product of $\langle q |$, with the defining coherent state relation and using a suitable representation for X_1, X_2 in terms of $1D$ variables, the following result follows.

$$\langle q | z \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(l_B\sqrt{\pi})}} \exp - \frac{1}{2l_B^2}(\bar{z}z + z^2 + q^2 - 2\sqrt{2}qz). \quad (3)$$

The Laughlin wave function for $\nu = \frac{1}{m}$ is given by

$$\langle \psi | z_i \rangle = \prod_{i \geq j} (z_i - z_j)^m \exp - \sum_i \bar{z}_i z_i \quad (4)$$

where we have expanded the state $\langle \psi |$ in the coherent state basis. We wish to identify the $1D$ system whose coherent state representation gives exactly (4).

Consider the ground state wave function of m non-interacting fermions associated with each of the N particles, confined in a harmonic well of frequency ω given by the cyclotron frequency. These m particles are referred to as ‘partons’ following Jain and the reason for that will be clear later.

$$\langle \psi | q \rangle \equiv \psi(q_1^{(1)} .. q_1^{(m)} \dots q_N^{(1)} .. q_N^{(m)}) = \prod_{i \geq j, a} (q_i^{(a)} - q_j^{(a)}) \exp - \left(\frac{m_0 \omega^2}{2\hbar} \sum_{i,a} q_i^2{}^{(a)} \right) \quad (5)$$

This wave function, which is the ground state wave function of free fermions in a common harmonic well, is anti-symmetric in particle index i, j and symmetric in the ‘parton’ index, a . The number of partons m , has to be odd for it to describe electrons in LLL in $2D$. We show that the coherent state representation of (5) is (4) when the ‘partons’ are constrained to be the same in the phase space, for each of the N particles and their charges chosen to be $1/m$ of electrons.

To find the coherent space representation of (5), we need,

$$\langle \psi | z \rangle = \int \langle \psi | q \rangle \langle q | z \rangle dq. \quad (6)$$

The overlap between the coherent state and the coordinate space is given by

$$\langle q | z \rangle \equiv \prod_{i,a} \langle q_i^{(a)} | z_i^{(a)} \rangle. \quad (7)$$

This is a generalization of (3) from the one particle case to the many particle case. This expression in conjunction with (5) gives the coherent space representation of a given wave function. z_i^a has now the meaning of coordinates of ‘partons’ in LLL in $2D$. The chirality associated with the Laughlin wave function, due to the presence of the magnetic field, enters in (7): if the direction of the magnetic field is reversed, then $z \rightarrow \bar{z}$, as $|z\rangle$ is the coherent state associated with the angular momentum lowering operator. Now z_i^a are chosen to be the same for all (a) for a given i :

$$z_i^{(a)} = z_i. \quad (8)$$

Only with this restriction the Jastrow factor of the coherent state representation matches with that of the Laughlin wave function. This choice has the physical meaning of constraining the coordinates of the m ‘partons’ to be the same in the $2D$ coordinate space of the LLL

system. This restriction is analogous to Jain's picture of treating electrons as composed of m partons. This is the reason for the term parton used in this letter.

Also as in the Jain's picture, each parton having coordinate z_i in LLL is taken to have charge $1/m$ of electrons. This is needed, as we shall see, to obtain Laughlin wave function with the correct Gaussian width.

Evaluating (6), using (8) in (7), requires the following result:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \prod_i dq_i \prod_{i < j} (q_i - q_j) \exp - \sum_i (q_i^2 - \sqrt{2} q_i z_i) \\ &= const \prod_{i > j} \left(\frac{d}{dz_i} - \frac{d}{dz_j} \right) \exp \sum_i (z_i^2) = const \prod_{i > j} (z_i - z_j) \exp \sum_i (z_i^2). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Using (9), to evaluate (7) by integrating over each $1D$ coordinate of the partons for all of the N particles, we get

$$\langle \psi | z \rangle = const \prod_{i > j} (z_i - z_j)^m \exp - \frac{m \sum_i |z_i|^2}{2l_B^2}. \quad (10)$$

By choosing the charge of each parton to be $\frac{1}{m}$ of that of the electron's charge, Laughlin wave function results.

We have thus shown, using the LLL restriction, that the Laughlin wave function is the holomorphic representation of an $1D$ system of free fermions in a harmonic well. The fact that it is the non-interacting fermions, which are related to FQHE wave functions, can possibly be understood as follows. It is well known that the probability distribution of free fermions in harmonic confinement in $1D$, is isomorphic to the probability distribution of the eigenvalues in a Gaussian unitary ensemble in the Random Matrix theory [10]. The latter corresponds to time-reversal non-invariant Hamiltonian systems. In recent times [11], an intriguing connection has been established between static and dynamic correlations of eigenvalues in Random Matrix theory and particle coordinates in Calogero-Sutherland model for certain values of the coupling constants, which includes free fermions. Viewed in this light, therefore, it is not surprising that, the eigenvalue distribution in *time reversal non-invariant* ensembles correspond to the probability distribution of coordinates of electrons in a *magnetic field*.

Our construction of Laughlin wavefunction from 1D fermionic theory is different from that of the construction in [7] : in our construction 1D fermions are free fermions, but having m of them associated with each of the 2D electrons; it is an exact correspondence, valid independent of the sample size. The restrictions needed to obtain it and the value of the parton's charge, correspond to the Jain's picture. This relation of Laughlin wave function and 1D systems is possibly related, in general, to the edge states of Quantum Hall effect and specifically to [12], where FQHE is related to 1D free fermions.

Extension to other filling factors involves, in Jain's picture, filling up of higher Landau levels. Hence, their identification to 1D systems through the phase space picture is not obvious. It should be interesting to find such an extension for other filling factors. This correspondence may also be useful to study the symmetry aspects of FQHE, like W_∞ symmetry [13] since the 1D system we have is known to have such a symmetry [14]. This can also, possibly, offer a better calculational procedure to compute expectation values in quantum Hall states by converting them to 1D problems.

Acknowledgement: We thank S. Chaturvedi and V. Srinivasan for useful discussions. MS acknowledges R. Shankar and M.V.N. Murthy for an illuminating discussion.

References

1. R.B. Laughlin, in *The Quantum Hall Effect*, edited by R. Prange and S. M. Girvin (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989), and references therein.
2. T. Chakraborty and P. Pietilainen, *The Fractional Quantum Hall Effect* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988).
3. J.K.Jain, Phys. Rev.**B 40** ,8079 (1989);
X.G.Wen,Int.J.Mod.Phys.*B6*,1711(1992).
4. J.K.Jain, Advances in Physics **41**, 105 (1992).
5. N.Kawakami, Phys.Rev.Lett.**71**,937(1991), J.Phys.Soc.Jpn.**62**,2270,2419(1993).

6. F. Calegero, J. Math. Phys.**10**, 2197 (1969);
B. Sutherland, J. Math. Phys.**12**, 246 (1971).
7. H.Azuma and S.Iso Phys .Lett**B 331**, 107 (1994).
8. S.Iso, University of Tokyo Preprint, UT-676.
9. S. M. Girvin and T. Jach, Phys. Rev. **B 29**, 5617 (1984);
C. Itzykson, in *Quantum Field Theory and Quantum Statistics*, edited by A. Hilger (University Press, Briston, 1986);
T. H. Hansson, J. M. Leinaas and J. Myrheim, Nucl. Phys. **B 384**, 559 (1992);
S. Iso, D. Karabali and B. Sakita, Nucl. Phys. **B 385**, 700 (1992);
G. V. Dunne and R. Jackiw, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **33C**, 114 (1993).
10. M.L.Mehta ,*Random Matrices*(Academic Press, New York,1991).
11. B.D.Simons,P.A.Lee and B. Altshuler, Phys.Rev.Lett.**70**,4122, **72**,64 (1994).
12. A.P.Balachandran, L.Chandar, and B.Sathiapalan, Syracuse University Preprint, SU-4240-578.
13. S. Iso, D. Karabali and B. Sakita, Phys. Lett.**296**, 143 (1994);
A. Capelli, C. A. Trugenberger and G.R. Gemba, Nucl.Phys.**B396**,465 (1993).
14. S.Das, A.Dhar, G.Mandal and S.R.Wadia, Int. J. Mod. Phys.**A7**, 5165 (1992).